	Case 4:07-cv-04469-Cvv Document 16 Filed 03/	10/2008	Page 1 of 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California DANE R. GILLETTE Chief Assistant Attorney General GERALD A. ENGLER Senior Assistant Attorney General PEGGY S. RUFFRA Supervising Deputy Attorney General JULIET B. HALEY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 162823 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-3664 Telephone: (415) 703-5960 Fax: (415) 703-1234 Email: juliet.haley@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11 12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13			
14	JEFF JAY HANCOCK,	C 07-04	469 CW (PR)
15	Petitioner, v.	TO TH	EMENTAL ANSWER E COURT'S ORDER OW CAUSE
16	JAMES D. HARTLEY, Acting Warden,		
17 18	Respondent.		
19	Respondent hereby provides this Answer to the Order to Show Cause why the petition		
20	should not be granted.		
21	I.		
22	CUSTODY		
23	Petitioner Jeff Jay Hancock is lawfully in the custody of respondent within the meaning		
24	of the federal habeas corpus statute, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241(c)(3) and 2254(d), pursuant to a valid		
25	judgment of the Santa Clara County Superior Court, a Santa Clara County jury having found		
26	petitioner guilty of assault with a deadly weapon (Cal. Penal Code § 245(a)(1)). The jury also		
27	found true that petitioner personally used a deadly weapon (Cal. Penal Code §§ 667 and 1192.7)		
28	and personally inflicted great bodily injury (Cal. Penal Code §§ 12022.7(a), 1203 (e)(3)). A prior		
	Answer To The Court's Order To Show Cause - C 07-04469 CW (PR)		

strike conviction within the meaning of California Penal Code sections 667 and 1170.12 (Three Strikes law) and one prior serious felony conviction within the meaning of California Penal Code sections 667(a), and 1192.7 was also found true. CT 93-96

On September 3, 2004, petitioner was sentenced to 11 years in prison. CT 283-284; RT 542-545. Petitioner appealed. His convictions were affirmed by the California Court of Appeal on March 24, 2006. By separate order his petition for writ of habeas corpus was summarily denied. Exh. C, at 2. Petitioner's petition for review was also summarily denied by the California Supreme Court on June 14, 2006.

On May 18, 2007, Petitioner filed an unexhausted federal habeas petition in this Court. This Court dismissed the action without prejudice to filing once all state court post-conviction challenges were completed. Petitioner filed two additional habeas petitions in this Court. On January 15, 2008, this Court ordered that the petitions be consolidated and that Respondent file a supplemental Answer to Petitioner's Supplemental Petition.

II.

PROCEDURAL ISSUES

Petitioner has exhausted his state remedies as to the claims herein presented and the petition is timely. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

III.

DENIAL OF CLAIMS

Respondent denies that petitioner suffered any deprivation of constitutional rights supporting habeas corpus relief. Specifically, respondent denies: (1) the flight instruction lowered the prosecution's burden of proof.

Respondent last denies that this claim entitles petitioner to relief because he has failed to establish that the state court's denial of these claims was either an unreasonable application of clearly established Federal law as determined by the United States Supreme Court or resulted in a decision based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented to the state courts. Respondent incorporates by reference the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities in support of this denial.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: Jeff Jay Hancock v., James D. Hartley, Acting Warden

No.: C 07-4469 CW (PR)

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On March 10, 2008, I served the attached

SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER TO THE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER;

NOTICE OF LODGING OF, AND INDEX TO, EXHIBITS

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102-7004, addressed as follows:

Jeff Hancock V-49474 CVSP 19025 Wiley's Well Road Blythe, CA 92225

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on March 10, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

J. Wong	J Wm Y		
Declarant	Signature		

40227307.wpd